REMARKS

Claims 1-5 have been withdrawn and claims 6-10 have been added. Claims 6-10 are now

pending in this application. No new matter has been entered.

In the October 10, 2006 office action, the Examiner has rejected claims 1-5 under 35

U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. Claims 1-5 have been withdrawn and claims

6-10 have been added. As such, reconsideration is requested.

The Examiner has also objected to the drawings under 37 CFR §1.83(a) because the

drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. 37 CFR §1.83 also

states "[h]owever, conventional features disclosed in the description and claims, where their

detailed illustration is not essential for a proper understanding of the invention, should be

illustrated in the drawing in the form of a graphical drawing symbol or a labeled representation

(e.g., a labeled rectangular box). Since applicant's specification contains an adequate written

description so as to enable one skilled in the art to understand as well as make and use the

embodiments of the claimed subject matter, applicant has complied with the requirements of 37

CFR §1.83(a). Reconsideration of this requirement is also requested.

Next, the Examiner has rejected claims 1-5 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated

by an article found in the prior art entitled "Merry Cookies; sample the latest batch of winning

recipes in CNY's annual holiday contest." Applicant respectfully disagrees with Examiner's

characterization of the prior art as the statement of wrapping six cookies with plastic and tying

Page 4 of 5

Appl. No. 10/710,434

Amdt. dated 10 January 2007

Reply to Office action of 10 October 2006

them with a ribbon and affixing a card would not enable someone to make and use the claimed

subject matter.

Lastly, the Examiner has rejected claims 1-5 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being

anticipated by an article found in the prior art entitled "The Bear Basics of Gift Wrapping."

Applicant again respectfully disagrees with Examiner's characterization of the prior art. Here, the

elements of a bear wrapped with wrap in a bag and tied with a ribbon with a cookie cutter and

ornament would not enable someone to make and use the claimed subject matter.

Applicants believe they have responded to all of the concerns raised by the Examiner and

reconsideration is respectfully requested. If Examiner has any questions about the present

response, a telephone interview is requested. No additional fees are due.

Respectfully submitted,

CAROL ELISE PAWLAK.

/MichaePEddyPTO#42505/

Dated: January 10, 2006

By: MICHAEL P. EDDY

Attorney for Applicant

Registration No. 42,505

LAW OFFICE OF MICHAEL P. EDDY 12526 High Bluff Drive, Ste. 300

San Diego, California 92130 Telephone: (858) 345-1098

Facsimile: (858) 777-5453

Page 5 of 5